

Maternal and Neonatal Outcome of Delayed Cord Clamping vs Early Cord Clamping in Term-Delivered Newborns

Manjari Kumari¹, Mridu Sinha^{2*}, Surabhi Gupta³

ABSTRACT

Introduction: During the first minutes after birth, the newborn infant receives a substantial blood transfusion from the placenta. Delayed cord clamping [DCC] is associated with decrease incidence of anemia in childhood, less intraventricular hemorrhage and a lower risk of necrotizing enterocolitis as compared to early cord clamping. The present study was conducted to study the maternal and neonatal outcome of DCC vs early cord clamping [ECC] in term-delivered newborns

Material & Methods: This prospective study was conducted in term low-risk pregnant women admitted for safe confinement in the labour room of SRMS IMS Bareilly, between August 2022 to January 2024. A total of 60 patients, who fulfilled inclusion-exclusion criteria, were subjected to the study and divided into two groups of 30 each: Group A with ECC and group B with DCC. Mothers and their newborns were evaluated with reference to maternal and neonatal outcomes. Statistical analysis was carried out using Microsoft Excel and Epi Info 7.1 software.

Results: In this study, ECC was performed on 30 (Group A) and DCC was performed on 30 (Group B). A significant increase in haemoglobin level without any significant increase in neonatal serum bilirubin level in the case of DCC as compared to ECC. On the basis of this study, delayed cord clamping shows significant positive effects on infants' health.

Conclusion: Delayed cord clamping improves haemoglobin levels and iron stores in term infants, which have major positive effects on infants' health and development and decrease the incidence of childhood anaemia.

Keywords: Early cord clamping, Delayed cord clamping, Postpartum haemorrhage.

How to cite this article: Kumari M, Sinha M, Gupta S. Maternal and Neonatal Outcome of Delayed Cord Clamping vs Early Cord Clamping in Term-Delivered Newborns. SRMS J Med Sci. 2026;11(1):41-47.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

Submission: 04/03/2026; **Acceptance:** 05/04/2026; **Published:** 30/06/2026

¹Junior resident, ²Professor, ³Associate Professor,

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Shri Ram Murti Smarak Institute of Medical Sciences, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India

***Corresponding Author:** Mridu Sinha, Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Shri Ram Murti Smarak Institute of Medical Sciences, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India, e-mail: sinhamridu72@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization (WHO) has revised its stance on the practice of clamping, primarily due to findings that show it does not significantly contribute to preventing postpartum hemorrhage (PPH). This change in guidance was notably reflected in WHO's 2014 recommendations, which advocate for delayed cord clamping in all childbirth scenarios, except in cases where the newborn requires urgent and advanced resuscitation.^{1,2} The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence [NICE] in the UK aligns with this view, advising that the umbilical cord should not be cut for at least one minute post-birth unless the newborn's heart rate falls below 60 beats per minute.³ The physiological implications of delayed cord clamping are significant. In the initial minutes following birth, a newborn can receive a considerable amount of blood from the placenta. Studies have shown that holding a full-term newborn approximately 10 cm below the uterus for the first three minutes can increase the infant's blood volume by an average of 32%.^{4,5} This process has notable benefits for the infant's health. For full-term infants, there is a positive impact on iron levels that can last for up to 4 to 6 months. In preterm infants, delayed cord clamping has been linked to a reduction in the need for blood transfusions due to anemia, a decrease in the incidence of intraventricular hemorrhage, and a lowered risk of necrotizing enterocolitis. Moreover, benefits like improved blood pressure, enhanced oxygen transport, increased urine output, and stabilized body temperature have been observed.⁶ However, it's important to consider the potential drawbacks and balance them against the benefits. For the mother, delayed cord clamping could increase the risk of PPH and its associated complications. For the newborn, there are concerns about delayed resuscitation, hypothermia, polycythemia, hyperbilirubinemia, and a potential increase in the risk of neonatal jaundice. These factors necessitate a careful and individualized approach to each birth, weighing the benefits against the potential risks.⁷⁻⁹ The present study was conducted to study fetomaternal outcome in both DCC and ECC in active management of the 3rd stage of labour and to compare different maternal and neonatal parameters.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The research was conducted at the Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Shri Ram Murti Smarak Institute of Medical Sciences, Bareilly. This study was structured as a prospective comparative observational study. The study spanned from Aug 2022 to Jan 2024. Prior to the initiation of the study, clearance was obtained from the scientific committee and the ethical committee of Shri Ram Murti Smarak Institute of Medical Sciences, Bareilly. The study included participants who met the following criteria: Singleton pregnancy, term gestational age, i.e., between 37 and 42 weeks of gestation, low-risk pregnancy, and who underwent spontaneous normal vaginal deliveries. Subjects were excluded from the study if they: underwent a caesarean section, required an instrumental delivery, were diagnosed with anemia, experienced preterm labor, or had known medical or surgical co-morbidities. Newborns were excluded from the study if they: required neonatal resuscitation, had major congenital abnormalities, presented with a tight nuchal cord that necessitated early cord clamping, experienced fetal distress, or suffered from birth asphyxia. The study protocol was explained in detail to the patients, and informed written consent was obtained from them. The socio-demographic form included six items related to the mothers' age, level of education, occupation, blood group, gravidity (number of pregnancies), and parity (number of live births). Participants were selected through random allocation, ensuring an unbiased distribution of subjects between the two study groups. A total of 60 patients were recruited based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria and were divided into two groups of 30 each. Group A - earlycord clamping [ECC] consisted of 30 study subjects who underwent early cord clamping, performed within 1-minute post-delivery. Group B - delayed cord clamping [DCC] comprised 30 study subjects who experienced delayed cord clamping, conducted within 2 to 3 minutes post-delivery, howend in a few patients, it was done at 2 & ½ minutes because of expulsion of placenta in active management of 3rd stage (AMTSL done in both groups). Inj oxytocin 10 IU. IM was administered as a prophylactic uterotonic for all patients at the time of delivery. In cases of delayed cord clamping, the newborn baby was kept between the lap of the mother, wrapped in a warm towel, which was changed with another warm towel, with routine newborn care ongoing, at a level lower than the level of the placenta to facilitate placental transfusion. Babies were roomed in with their mothers after the pediatrician's initial assessment. Any baby admitted to the NICU after delivery, except for a short period of observation or those developing transient tachypnea of the newborn, was excluded from the study. The maternal

outcomes assessed were the occurrence of PPH (yes/no) and the mother's Hb level before as well as 72 hours after childbirth, measured using an electronic portable hemoglobin meter. Neonatal outcomes encompassed the Apgar scores at 1 and 5 minutes after birth, the need for oxygen therapy (yes/no), Hb level at 12 hours of age measured by hemoglobin meter, and admission to the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) (yes/no) due to any neonatal morbidity with 1st week of life. Mothers and their newborns were evaluated with reference to maternal and neonatal hemoglobin, hematocrit and neonatal or maternal complications, if any.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using Microsoft Excel and Epi Info 7.1 software. The data were described in terms of arithmetic mean $\pm$ SD (or median) for quantitative data and frequencies (%) for qualitative (categorical) data. The *p-values* of < 0.05 were considered significant. For comparison of categorical variables (i.e., to examine the associations between qualitative/quantitative variables), the chi-square test was used if the number of elements in each cell was 5 or higher, and Fisher's exact test was used otherwise.

RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the age-wise distribution in both groups. In the ECC group, the majority of patients, i.e., 17 (57%), fall within the 25 to 34 age bracket and in the DCC group, the majority, i.e., 15 (50%), were 25 to 34 years of age. This showed that both groups were comparable, i.e., no bias due to age difference.

In terms of education (Table 1a), illiteracy rates were slightly higher in DCC group 8 (27%) compared to ECC group 6 (20%). In comparison, primary education showed a reversal with a higher percentage in ECC group 4 (13%) than in DCC group 2 (7%). Occupation-wise (Table-1b), the DCC group had the majority of employed individuals, i.e., 19 (63%), compared to ECC 14 (46%), while the unemployed or housewife category was more prevalent in ECC 16 (53%) than in DCC 11 (37%).

According to socioeconomic status (Table 2). In group A (ECC), most of the patients, i.e., 12 (40%), were distributed in the low middle class, whereas in Group B (DCC), most of the patients, i.e., 14 (46%) were in the upper lower class.

As per blood grouping in study participants (Table 3), for the ECC category, the distribution was: A+ blood type most prevalent at 40% (12 individuals), and in the DCC category, A+ was leading at 37% (11 individuals).

According to gravidawise distribution (Table 4) ECC (GROUP A), most of the patients were 2nd/3rd grvida,




Figure 1: Age-wise distribution of study participants of 2 groups

that is, 14 (47%) and in DCC (GROUP B), i.e., 16 (53%) were 2nd/3rd gravida. *p-value* (0.874) was insignificant, which shows that both groups were comparable.

Table 5 shows the distribution of study participants in both groups by division of term gestation, i.e., early term (37–38 weeks) and (38–39 weeks), full term (39–40 weeks) and (40–41 weeks) and late term (41–42 weeks). ECC group had most patients delivered at gestational age b/w 37 to 38 weeks, i.e., 10 (33%), followed by 38 to 39 weeks, which had 8s (27%). Similarly, in DCC groups, most patients delivered at gestation. *p-value* (0.435) showed insignificant, implying both groups were comparable.

In the ECC group, the majority of 20 women (66%) had Hb change of the mother between 2 and 3 gm before and after delivery. Similarly, in the DCC group, the majority of 24 women (80%) had an Hb change of mother between 2 and 3 gm before and after delivery. The difference in Hb change of the mother before and after delivery between the two groups was not statistically significant, with a *p-value* of 0.599 (Table 6). With respect to maternal

complications like postpartum haemorrhage (PPH) no significant change in both groups was observed (Table 7).

Table 8, shows the newborn birth weight of both groups A and B. The mean birth weight for the ECC group was 2.61 ± 0.10 kg, while the mean birth weight for the DCC group was 2.26 ± 0.29 kg. The difference in mean birth weights between the two groups was not statistically significant, with a *p-value* of 0.678.

The mean Apgar score at 1-minute (Table 9) for the ECC group was 7.81 ± 0.08 , compared to a mean score of 8.1 ± 0.00 for the DCC group. This difference in mean APGAR scores between the two groups was statistically insignificant, with a *p-value* of 0.0656. Similarly, the mean Apgar score at 5 minutes (Table 10) for the ECC group was 8.89 ± 0.08 , while for the DCC group it was 9.0 ± 0.0 . The difference in mean APGAR scores between the two groups was statistically significant, with a *p-value* of 0.0546.

Table 11, shows the neonatal haemoglobin level at 12 hours of birth in both groups A and B. The distribution indicates a significantly higher proportion of neonates with elevated hemoglobin levels in the DCC group compared to the ECC group, with a *p-value* of 0.003. The *p-value* of 0.0678 indicates that there was no statistically significant difference in serum bilirubin levels (Table 12) between the ECC and DCC groups at 12 hours of age.

Cumulative maternal outcome of two groups (Table 13) shows the mean Hb levels post-childbirth were not significantly different, with ECC recording a mean of 4.07 g/dL (SD=1.05) and DCC showing a mean of 4.40 g/dL (SD=0.93) with a *p-value* of 0.698.

Maternal outcome post-delivery (Table 14) shows a total of 13% (8 infants) required oxygen therapy, while the vast majority, 87% (52 infants), did not need such

Table 1a: Distribution of study subjects according to their education

Education	Group A (ECC)		Group B (DCC)	
	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Illiterate	6	20	8	27
Primary school	4	13	2	7
Middle school	2	7	4	13
High school	8	27	6	20
Intermediate	5	17	6	20
Graduate	5	17	4	13
Total	30	100	30	100

Table 1b: Distribution of study subjects according to occupation status

Occupation	Group A (ECC)		Group B (DCC)	
	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Employed	14	46	19	63
Unemployed/Housewife	16	53	11	37
Total	30	100	30	100

Table 2: Distribution of study participants according to their socioeconomic status

Socioeconomic status	Number of patients in Group A (ECC)		Number of patients in Group B (DCC)	
	Percentage (%)		Percentage (%)	
Upper class	2	6	1	4
Upper middle class	4	14	6	20
Low middle class	12	40	5	16
Upper lower class	8	26	14	46
Lower class	4	14	4	14
Total	30	100	30	100

Table 3: Distribution of study subjects according to their blood group

Blood group	Group A (ECC)		Group B (DCC)	
	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
A+	12	40	11	37
B+	6	20	7	23
AB+	2	7	3	10
O+	10	33	9	30
Total	30	100	30	100

Table 4: Distribution of study subjects as per the gravida (No. of pregnancies)

Gravida	Group A (ECC)		Group B (DCC)		p-value*
	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	
1st Gravida	7	23	6	20	0.874
2 nd – 3 rd Gravida	14	47	16	53	
4 th Gravida or more	9	30	8	27	
sTotal	30	100	30	100	

*Chi square test

Table 5: Gestational age at delivery

POG	Group A (ECC)		Group B (DCC)		p-value
	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	
37–38 week	10	33	14	47	0.435
38–39 week	8	27	7	23	
39–40 week	6	20	5	17	
40–41 week	4	13	3	10	
41–42 weeks	2	7	1	3	
Total	30	100	30	100	

Table 6: Change in hemoglobin level of mother before and after delivery

Change in Hb	Group A (ECC)		Group B (DCC)		p-value
	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	
<1-gm	0	0	0	0	0.599
1–2 gm	10	34	6	20	
2–3 gm	20	66	24	80	
TOTAL	30	100	30	100	
Mean + SD	4.07 + 1.05		4.40 + 0.93		0.198

Table 7: Distribution of study subjects as per the maternal outcomes PPH

PPH	Group A (ECC)		Group B (DCC)		p-value
	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	
No	26	87	29	97	0.358
Yes	4	13	1	3	
TOTAL	30	100	30	100	

Table 8: Birth weight of newborns

Birth weight (kg)	Group A (ECC)		Group B (DCC)		p-value
	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	
<2.5 kg	5	16	1	4	0.567
2.5–3.0 kg	15	50	20	66	
3.0–3.5 kg	10	34	9	30	
>3.5 kg	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	30	100	30	100	0.678
Mean + SD	2.61 + 0.10		2.26 + 0.29		

Table 9: Apgar score at 1-minute of neonates

Table 1: Apgar scores at 1 minute of neonates					
APGAR at 1 minute	Group A (ECC)		Group B (DCC)		p-value
	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	
<8	0	0	0	0	0.0745
8–9	30	100	30	100	
>9	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	30	100	30	100	0.0656
Mean + SD	7.81 + 0.08		8.1 + 0.00		

Table 10: APGAR score at 5 minutes of newborn

APGAR at 5 min	Group A (ECC)		Group B (DCC)		p-value
	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	
<8	0	0	0	0	0.0652
8–9	0	0	0	0	
>9	30	100	30	100	
TOTAL	30	100	30	100	0.0546
Mean + SD	8.89 + 0.08		9.0 + 0.0		

Table 11: Hemoglobin level in neonate of early and delayed cord clamping

Change in hemoglobin in a neonate	Group A (ECC)	Percentage (%)	Group B (DCC)	Percentage (%)	p-value
<13 gm	4	15	1	3	0.003
13–14 gm	2	6	1	3	
14–15 gm	2	6	1	3	
15–16 gm	8	26	6	20	
16–17 gm	8	26	18	60	
17–18 gm	4	15	2	8	
>18 gm	2	6	1	3	
Total	30	100	30	100	

Table 12: Comparison of bilirubin level at 12 hours of age between early and delayed cord clamping groups

Bilirubin level	Group A (ECC)	Percentage	Group B (DCC)	Percentage	p-value
<15 mg/dl	10	34	20	68	0.0678
15–16 mg/dl	15	50	5	16	
>16 mg/dl	5	16	5	16	
TOTAL	30	100	30	100	

Note: Chi square test.

Table 13: Comparison of maternal outcomes between 2 groups

Maternal outcomes	Group A (ECC)		Group B (DCC)		p-value
	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	
PPH	4	13	1	43	0.653
	Mean	Standard deviation	Mean	Standard deviation	
Hb levels of mother before and after delivery	4.07	1.05	4.40	0.93	0.698

Table 14: Distribution of study subjects as per the neonatal outcomes

Neonatal outcomes		Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Need for oxygen therapy	YES	8	13
	NO	52	87
Admission to NICU	YES	4	7
	NO	56	93
	Total	60	100

intervention, out of which only 7 required temporary admission in the NICU. Overall, these outcomes reflect the health status and immediate care requirements of the neonates in this sample.

DISCUSSION

Historically, in the period before the mid-20th century, particularly before the 1950s, the medical community defined “early clamping” of the umbilical cord as clamping within the first minute following birth. Conversely, “late clamping” was categorized as the action of clamping the umbilical cord more than five minutes post-delivery. Initial studies, though limited in scale, revealed a significant transfer of blood from the placenta to the newborn within the first three minutes after birth, estimated to be around 80 to 100 mL. Remarkably, it was observed that up to 90% of this blood volume transfer occurred within the first few breaths in healthy, full-term infants.¹⁰

In all studies, both groups were comparable with respect to age, occupation, socioeconomic status, gravidity, parity and period of gestation, biased due to it.

Similarly, blood group A+ was common in both the early cord clamping (ECC) and delayed cord clamping (DCC) groups, with 40 and 37%, respectively. Comparing these results to a previous study by Abd El-Moneim Fawzy *et al.*,⁹ which also looked at blood group distributions across ECC and DCC categories, with 30 observations in each, reveals a similar pattern.

The difference in Hemoglobin level change of the mother before and after delivery between the two groups was not statistically significant, with a *p-value* of 0.599. This shows that the timing of cord clamping does not affect the hemoglobin level of women post-delivery, i.e., there is no significant loss of maternal blood if we opt for early or delayed cord clamping. Deviations from this

average might require further attention and intervention to ensure positive outcomes for mothers.

Another maternal outcome in terms of postpartum hemorrhage, it was that in the ECC group, 13% of mothers experienced postpartum hemorrhage (PPH) and in the DCC group, 3% of mothers experienced postpartum hemorrhage (*p-value*-0.358). This highlights the need to closely monitor and manage PPH, a significant childbirth-associated complication, not related to the timing of cord clamping. Comparing this study to one by Khitam Mohammed *et al.*,² it's clear that the rates of PPH and hemoglobin levels after 12 hours of childbirth differed.

The differences in neonatal birth weight in both groups of early cord clamping and delayed cord clamping were not statistically significant (*p-value* 0.567). These results correlate with a study by Therese *et al.*¹⁰; it can be concluded that neonatal weight was not affected by the choice of procedure, potentially affecting pregnancy outcome.

In this study, it was found that newborns assessed using the APGAR scoring system at 1-minute after birth had an average score of 8, indicating they were generally healthy right after birth. By the 5-minute mark, the average score increased to 9.0, showing even better adaptation to life outside the womb. These findings align with a study by Erickson K *et al.*¹¹ Comparing these findings with Andersson *et al.*'s¹² study, both studies found no differences in APGAR scores at 1 and 5 minutes between ECC and DCC groups. Thus, it can be concluded that neonatal outcomes with respect to APGAR score were not affected by early or delayed cord clamping.

Regarding neonatal hemoglobin level at 12 hours of birth, it was found that a higher proportion of neonates had elevated hemoglobin levels in the DCC group compared to the ECC group, with a *p-value*. This finding aligns with a study by Andersson *et al.*,¹² which also

looked at neonatal hemoglobin outcomes levels in both the ECC and DCC groups, favoring higher hemoglobin levels in the DCC group. Thus, delayed cord clamping benefits newborn babies by significantly increasing their hemoglobin level due to placental transfusion.

There was no statistically significant difference in bilirubin levels between the ECC and DCC groups. These findings align with a study by Erickson K *et al.*,¹¹ which also looked at newborn outcomes with respect to bilirubin levels.

Overall, 13% of newborns needed oxygen therapy, while 87% didn't. Also, 7% were admitted to the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU), while 93% didn't require NICU admission. These results emphasize the importance of assessing newborn health to tailor medical interventions effectively. By comparing with a study by Hellström-Westas L *et al.*,³ which had similar findings, the study underscores the consistency of these outcomes in neonatal care. In both studies, a small percentage needed oxygen therapy or NICU admission, highlighting the overall health status and care needs of the newborns.

This study discovered that babies whose cords were clamped early needed oxygen therapy more often compared to those with delayed cord clamping. Specifically, 20% of babies in the early clamping group needed oxygen therapy, while only 7% did in the delayed clamping group. Although the *p-value* of 0.12 suggests a possible trend, showing this difference might not be statistically significant, it's still important to note because it could have clinical implications. In terms of NICU admissions, 10% of babies in the early clamping group were admitted compared to 3% in the delayed clamping group. However, with a *p-value* of 0.30, there's no significant difference between the two groups in NICU admissions. These findings indicate that delayed cord clamping might be beneficial in reducing the need for oxygen therapy and NICU admissions, but more research is needed to confirm these potential benefits. These results differ from a previous study by Tailakh *et al.*,¹³ highlighting the importance of further exploration into cord clamping practices and their impact on newborn health.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that DCC should be preferred over ECC, as it significantly increases neonatal hemoglobin levels without any effect on serum bilirubin. But due to

low sample size and short-term follow-up, further large-scale studies are required to corroborate this findings.

REFERENCES

1. Garofalo M, Abenhaim HA. Early Versus Delayed Cord Clamping in Term and Preterm Births: A Review. J ObstetGynaecol Canada [Internet]. 2012;34(6):525–31. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1701-2163\(16\)35268-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1701-2163(16)35268-9).
2. Mohammad K, Tailakh S, Fram K, Creedy D. Effects of early umbilical cord clamping versus delayed clamping on maternal and neonatal outcomes: a Jordanian study. J Matern Neonatal Med. 2021;34(2):231–7.
3. Andersson O, Hellström-Westas L, Andersson D, Domellöf M. Effect of delayed versus early umbilical cord clamping on neonatal outcomes and iron status at 4 months: A randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2011;343(7836):1244.
4. Chowdhury S, Chakraborty P pratim. Universal health coverage - There is more to it than meets the eye. J Fam Med Prim Care [Internet]. 2017;6(2):169–70. Available from: <http://www.jfmpc.com/article.asp?issn=2249-4863;year=2017;volume=6;issue=1;page=169;epage=170;aulast=Faizi>
5. ACOG Committee opinion, Delayed Umbilical Cord Clamping After Birth. Pediatric Clinical Practice Guidelines & Policies. 2021. p. 1317–1317.
6. Gonnade N V, Nikhate SD, Bal H, Shrivastava N. A comparative study of early and delayed cord clamping in term deliveries. Int J Reprod Contraception, Obstet Gynecol. 2018;7(12):4929.
7. De Bernardo G, Giordano M, De Santis R, Castelli P, Sordino D, Trevisanuto D, et al. A randomized controlled study of immediate versus delayed umbilical cord clamping in infants born by elective caesarean section. Ital J Pediatr. 2020;46(1):1–6.
8. Eman R Ahmad, Sahar A Aly, Kamal M. Zahran, Effect of Early Versus Late Umbilical Cord Clamping of Term Infants on Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes, Journal of American Science 2012;8(9):1-7
9. Fawzy AEMA, Moustafa AA, El-Kassar YS, Swelem MS, El-Agwany AS, Diab DA. Early versus delayed cord clamping of term births in Shatby Maternity University Hospital. ProgresosObstet y Ginecol. 2015;58(9):389–92
10. McDonald SJ, Middleton P, Dowswell T, Morris PS. Effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping of term infants on maternal and neonatal outcomes. Evidence-Based Child Heal. 2014;9(2):303–97.
11. Jelin AC, Kuppermann M, Erickson K, Clyman R, Jelin AC, Kuppermann M, et al. Obstetricians' attitudes and beliefs regarding umbilical cord clamping. J Matern Neonatal Med. 2014;27:14:1457–61.
12. Andersson O, Hellstrom-Westas L, Andersson D, Clausen J, Domellof M. Effects of delayed compared with early umbilical cord clamping on maternal postpartum hemorrhage and cord blood gas sampling: a randomized trial. ActaObsGynecol Scand. 2013;92:567–74.
13. Mohammad K, Tailakh S, Fram K, Creedy D. Effects of early umbilical cord clamping versus delayed clamping on maternal and neonatal outcomes: a Jordanian study. J Matern Neonatal Med. 2019;0:1–7.